Hemi-Fontan and bidirectional Glenn operations result in flow-mediated viscous energy loss at the time of stage II palliation
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ABSTRACT

Background: Superior cavopulmonary connection (SCPC) for stage II palliation of hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS) is achieved most frequently by either a bidirectional Glenn (BDG) or hemi-Fontan (HF) operation. The comparison of flow hemodynamic efficiency at the region of surgical reconstruction and in proximal pulmonary arteries has been evaluated primarily using computational modeling techniques with conflicting reports. The purpose of this descriptive study was to compare flow hemodynamics following stage II (BDG vs HF) using 4-dimensional flow magnetic resonance imaging (4D-Flow MRI) with particular focus on flow-mediated viscous energy loss ($E_L$) under matched hemodynamic conditions.

Methods: Patients with hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS) who underwent either HF or BDG as part of stage II palliation underwent pre-Fontan 4D-Flow MRI. Patients were matched by the pulmonary vascular resistance index, net superior vena cava (SVC) flow, right pulmonary artery (RPA) and left pulmonary artery (LPA) size, and age. Maximum $E_L$ throughout the cardiac cycle was calculated along the SVC-RPA and SVC-LPA tracts.

Results: Eight patients who underwent HF as part of their stage II single ventricle palliation were matched with 8 patients who underwent BDG. There were no differences between the 2 groups in median volumetric indices, including end-diastolic volume ($P = .278$) and end-systolic volume ($P = .235$). Moreover, no differences were observed in ejection fraction ($P = .091$) and cardiac index ($P = .324$). There also were no differences in peak $E_L$ measured along the SVC-RPA tract (median, 0.05 mW for HF vs 0.04 mW for BDG; $P = .365$) or along the SVC-LPA tract (median, 0.05 mW vs 0.04 mW; $P = .741$).

Conclusions: The second stage of surgical palliation of HLHS using either HF or BDG results in similar flow-mediated viscous energy loss throughout the SCPC junction. 4D-Flow MRI and computational methods should be applied together to investigate flow hemodynamic patterns throughout the Fontan palliation and overall efficiency of the Fontan circuit. (JTCVS Open 2023;■:1-8)

PERSPECTIVE

Four-dimensional flow (4D-Flow) MRI results complement previous computational results suggesting minimal differences in mechanical fluid dissipating energy loss, particularly in the context of the entire pulmonary circuit. 4D-Flow MRI and computational methods should be applied together to investigate flow hemodynamic patterns throughout the Fontan palliation procedure.

See Commentary on page XXX.

Stage II surgical palliation for hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS) by a superior cavopulmonary connection results in nonpulsatile flow from the superior vena cava (SVC) to the pulmonary arteries (PAs) independent of ventricular support. Therefore, the aim of surgical reconstruction is to yield a system with minimal pressure and energy loss in the proximal PAs. Superior cavopulmonary connection (SCPC) for stage II palliation is achieved most frequently via either the bidirectional Glenn (BDG) or hemi-Fontan (HF) operation. Whereas the BDG operation creates a communication between the SVC and undivided PAs, the HF procedure augments the PA without dividing the SVC and excludes flow from the inferior vena cava by means of a temporary atrial patch. The majority of studies
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evaluating pulmonary circulation in patients with single ventricle physiology have focused on the hemodynamic state after completed palliation, however, a direct comparison of the flow hemodynamic state between the operative techniques at intermediate stage II using in vivo flow imaging has not yet been done.

The comparison of flow hemodynamic efficiency at the region of surgical reconstruction and in proximal PAs has been evaluated primarily using computational modeling. In contrast to computational methods, 4D-Flow MRI measures velocity directly and does not rely on assumptions about physiologic boundary conditions, such as vascular compliance and resistance; however, it lacks spatiotemporal resolution. Lately, interrogation of the Fontan circulation using 4D-Flow MRI flow-mediated viscous energy loss ($E_L$) has proven feasible. Unlike hydraulic or mechanical fluid energy loss, $E_L$ is a form of frictional energy loss due to viscous interaction within the fluid domain and indirectly measures the amount of flow inefficiency due to secondary flow characteristics, such as vortices or helices.

Thus, the purpose of this descriptive study was to compare flow hemodynamics following stage II (BDG vs HF) using 4D-Flow MRI, with a particular focus on flow-mediated $E_L$ under matched hemodynamic conditions. We present the results of this series to propose an additional flow hemodynamic parameter to complement previous computational studies concerning the interstage phase of HF palliation.

METHODS

This descriptive study was a part of larger prospective study investigating flow hemodynamic conditions in children with congenital heart disease using cardiac MRI and 4D-Flow MRI approved by the Colorado Multi-Institutional Review Board with a waiver of written consent (19-1420; date of approval, June 20, 2019). Cardiac MRI including 4D-Flow MRI is currently part of standard pre-Fontan evaluation in addition to echocardiographic and catheterization evaluations at our institution. All the patients in this series had a history of HLHS palliated initially by the Norwood procedure and followed by either HF or BDG. Patients were pairwise matched by physiologic, geometric, and demographic parameters, including pulmonary vascular resistance index, net SVC flow, branch PA size, and age at MRI acquisition. Patients with a significant stenotic lesion treated with stent or balloon angioplasty were excluded. A waiver of informed consent in the study population was approved, and all procedures were performed in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Cardiac MRI Protocol

All patients underwent standardized cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) evaluation following our previously described institutional protocol, with prescribed sequences customized for single ventricle evaluation. A 3.0-T system (Ingenia; Philips Medical Systems) was used to acquire balanced steady-state free precession stacks of short-axis images covering the ventricles from base to apex. Long-axis and 4-chamber views were obtained in each subject, with plane orientations chosen to appreciate the atrioventricular valves and outflow tracts. Finally, trough plane views of the SCPC and proximal PAs were obtained.

The 4D-Flow MRI protocol was as described previously. In brief, 4D-Flow MRI sequences were acquired in the sagittal plane with typical sequence parameters as follows: echo time, 2.4 to 2.6 ms; repetition time, 4.2 to 5.0 msec; flip angle, 10°; temporal resolution, 42 to 50 msec; field of view, 250 × 320 or 200 × 250 mm²; 14 to 18 cardiac phases; voxel size, 2.0 to 2.4 × 2.0 to 2.4 × 2.2 to 2.6 mm³; velocity encoding, 100 to 150 cm/s; and acquisition time, 10 to 15 minutes, depending on respiratory gating efficiency.

Viscous Energy Loss Calculation

Power loss refers to the mechanical fluid energy dissipation loss as blood is moved along the vasculature. This is determined primarily by the pressure differences, and this “hydraulic” power loss has been preferentially investigated in computational fluid dynamic studies. Under real-life conditions, there are also additional mechanical energy losses related to friction, which could be due to viscous ($E_L$) or turbulent energy losses. $E_L$ is inversely related to vessel radius and is the main cause of energy loss in stationary laminar flow, which closely resembles Fontan circulation. $E_L$ can be further increased if the flow through a vessel is not laminar/cohesive and creates secondary flow formations, such as vortices and helices.

Flow-mediated viscous energy loss, $E_L$, was calculated in each patient along the predefined vascular tracked, as proposed previously (Figure 1). All postprocessing steps were done using a commercially available post-processing platform (Circle CVI42; Circle Cardiovascular Imaging). Anatomic structures were identified from 4D-Flow MRI–derived magnetic resonance angiography, which served for subsequent structural segmentation. Segmented contours of the SVC, SCPC, and PAs served for the regional delineation and definition of the centerline along which $E_L$ was calculated. The first path included a segment from the SVC starting at the junction of the innominate veins and followed a centerline through the SCPC and approximately 2 cm to the right PA (RPA). The second path started at the same location at the innominate vein junction and followed a centerline to the left PA (LPA). Peak $E_L$ encountered throughout the cardiac cycle was recorded for each patient and adjusted for total centerline length. The determination of instantaneous viscous energy loss due to frictional forces was calculated per individual voxel within a predefined segmented region and yielded units of power loss in milliWatts (mW).

Statistical Analysis

This was primarily a descriptive study, and collected demographic and hemodynamic values are reported as mean ± standard deviation or median with corresponding interquartile range as dictated by the dataset.
distribution. Pairwise matching was based on parameters directly influencing $E_L$, including flow rate (net flow through the SVC) and PA diameters, as well as physiologic and demographic parameters including pulmonary vascular resistance index, age at MRI acquisition, and age at stage II operation. Patients were matched 1:1 based on propensity score matching. The probability of undergoing HF versus BDG was calculated by a multivariable logistic regression model that contained pulmonary vascular resistance index, net SVC flow, size of branch PAs, and age at MRI acquisition. Comparative analyses of flow hemodynamic parameters between HF patients and BDG patients were performed using the paired Wilcoxon rank-sum test. All statistical analyses and data presentation were performed with Prism version 9.5.1 (GraphPad Software), and Gardner-Altman figures were generated using estimation plots. All tests were 2-sided, and significance was based on an $\alpha$ level of $\leq0.05$.

RESULTS
In this study, 8 patients who underwent HF as part of their stage II single ventricle palliation were matched with 8 patients who underwent BDG. Patient demographics and hemodynamic characteristics are reported in Table 1. Specific diagnoses included HLHS with aortic atresia/mitral stenosis ($n=6$), aortic atresia/mitral atresia ($n=4$), and aortic stenosis/mitral stenosis ($n=6$). All patients underwent the Norwood procedure as part of their initial palliation with a right ventricle-to-PA shunt ($n=9$) or modified Blalock-Taussig shunt ($n=7$). The median age at the time of stage II operation was 5 months in both the HF and BDG patient groups, and the median age at the time of current 4D-Flow MRI scan was 2.5 years in both groups. There was no between-group difference in pre-Fontan pulmonary vascular resistance index (1.9 WU for HF vs 2.1 WU for BDG; $P=.641$). No differences were observed in median net SVC flow or branch PA diameters and their respective $z$-scores. No patient had worse than mild-to-moderate tricuspid regurgitation on same-day echocardiography. Eventually, all patients successfully underwent the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HF (N = 8)</th>
<th>BDG (N = 8)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at 4D-Flow MRI, y, median (IQR)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.0-3.1)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.0-3.0)</td>
<td>.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (38)</td>
<td>3 (38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA, m², median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.56-0.63)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.56-0.63)</td>
<td>.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at stage II, mo, median (IQR)</td>
<td>5 (4-6)</td>
<td>5 (4-6)</td>
<td>.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC net flow, mL, median (IQR)</td>
<td>11.5 (9.9-12.3)</td>
<td>9.9 (8.4-11.5)</td>
<td>.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVRi, WU, median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.6-2.2)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.6-3.0)</td>
<td>.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPA diameter, cm, median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.8-1.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9-1.1)</td>
<td>.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPA $z$-score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.4 (–0.7 to 0.9)</td>
<td>0.5 (–0.6 to 0.7)</td>
<td>.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA diameter, cm, median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7-1.1)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.6-0.9)</td>
<td>.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA $z$-score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.0 (–0.8 to 1.8)</td>
<td>–0.2 (–1.0 to 0.3)</td>
<td>.357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reported $P$ values are from the paired Wilcoxon matched-pair rank-sum test. HF, Hemi-Fontan; BDG, bidirectional Glenn; 4D-Flow MRI, 4-dimensional flow magnetic resonance imaging; IQR, interquartile range; BSA, body surface area; SVC, superior vena cava; PVRi, pulmonary vascular resistance index; WU, Woods unit; RPA, right pulmonary artery; LPA, left pulmonary artery.
HF procedure without major complications necessitating further reoperation.

Cardiac 4D-Flow MRI hemodynamics are summarized in Table 2. There were no differences in median volumetric indices, including end-diastolic volume (median, 130 mL/m² for HF vs 105 mL/m² for BDG; \(P = .278\)) and end-systolic volumes (median, 71 mL/m² vs 50 mL/m², respectively; \(P = .213\)). In addition, no differences were observed in ejection fraction (median, 48% for HF vs 50% for BDG; \(P = .091\)), cardiac index (median, 4.7 L/min/m² vs 5.5 L/min/m²; \(P = .324\)), or aortopulmonary collateral flow burden (median, 34% vs 46%; \(P = .275\)).

A comparison of the 4D-Flow MRI-derived \(E_L'\) is delineated in Table 2 and graphically depicted by Gardner-Altman plots in Figure 2. There were no differences in peak \(E_L'\) measured along the SVC-RPA tract (median, 0.05 mW for HF vs 0.04 mW for BDG; \(P = .365\)) and the SVC-LPA tract (median, 0.05 mW vs 0.04 mW; \(P = .741\)). Qualitatively, flow patterns were distinctively different between the HF and BDG groups (Figure 3). In patients with the HF configuration, flow through the SVC is projected to the respective PAs already at the level of floor of the patch dividing the SVC. The portion of the flow directed to the RPA typically creates a secondary flow formation in a helical form, as was observed in 5 of the 8 (63%) HF patients. This flow pattern appears to result from an incoming flow trajectory when pathlines collide with the posterior wall of the PA and rebound again on the posterior aspect of the SVC or PA, giving a rise to a helical formation (Figure 4). This helical flow pattern was absent in the LPA in all HF patients.

In contrast to HF patients, in all BDG patients the BDG configuration resulted in a cohesive uniform flow without any secondary flow formations proximal or distal to the SCPC region. The flow through the SVC typically splits directly at the level of the SVC-PA junction, with further redirection dictated by the geometric orientation of the PAs without any vortical or helical formations.

### Table 2. 4D-Flow MRI hemodynamics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>HF (N = 8)</th>
<th>BDG (N = 8)</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End-diastolic volume index, L/m², median (IQR)</td>
<td>130 (11-160)</td>
<td>105 (103-111)</td>
<td>.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-systolic volume index, L/m², median (IQR)</td>
<td>71 (54-83)</td>
<td>50 (45-56)</td>
<td>.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke volume index, L/m², median (IQR)</td>
<td>61 (56-62)</td>
<td>53 (50-63)</td>
<td>.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction, %, median (IQR)</td>
<td>48 (42-51)</td>
<td>50 (48-56)</td>
<td>.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac index, L/min/m², median (IQR)</td>
<td>4.7 (4.2-5.0)</td>
<td>5.5 (5.1-6.1)</td>
<td>.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP collateral flow, %, median (IQR)</td>
<td>34 (29-38)</td>
<td>46 (34-50)</td>
<td>.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC-RPA (E_L'), mW, median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.03-0.07)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.03-0.06)</td>
<td>.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC-LPA (E_L'), mW, median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.03-0.07)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.03-0.10)</td>
<td>.741</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reported \(P\) values represent paired Wilcoxon matched pair rank tests. HF, Hemi-Fontan; BDG, bidirectional Glenn; IQR, interquartile range; AP, aortopulmonary; SVC, superior vena cava; RPA, right pulmonary artery; LPA, left pulmonary artery; \(E_L'\), viscous energy loss; 4D-Flow MRI, 4-dimensional flow magnetic resonance imaging.

**FIGURE 2.** Graphical depiction of the comparative analysis of viscous energy loss between the hemi-Fontan (HF) and bidirectional Glenn (BDG) techniques along the superior vena cava (SVC)–right pulmonary artery (RPA) (A) and SVC–left pulmonary artery (LPA) (B) paths. VEL, viscous energy loss.
DISCUSSION
In this descriptive study, we report comprehensive qualitative and quantitative flow hemodynamic indices in patients who underwent either HF or BDG as the second stage of their surgical palliation for HLHS. The primary findings of this report can be summarized as follows: (1) there were no quantitative differences between the 2 procedures in flow patterns, as evaluated by $E_L$, with minor qualitative flow differences in RPA; and (2) there were no differences in standard pre-Fontan cardiac MRI hemodynamics. These findings are further highlighted by similar physiologic and geometric conditions in the 2 patient groups. Our results supplement findings from previous computational studies with direct in vivo 4D-Flow MRI measurements and suggest the feasibility of flow hemodynamic mapping prior to completion of Fontan palliation.

To the best of our knowledge, all previous studies comparing flow hemodynamic efficiency of the SCPC using either the HF or BDG surgical approach have been accomplished using computational simulations with variable outcomes. Variable study designs and approaches defining the geometric boundary conditions using different imaging modalities with physiologic inputs derived from catheterization or phase-contrast MRI are a likely cause of the minor discrepancies in observed results. The earliest comparative study using computational fluid dynamics by Bove and colleagues investigated hydraulic power loss. The authors observed no differences at stage II but reported higher energy losses in patients who underwent BDG after completion of Fontan procedures. A subsequent study by Pekkan and colleagues found that the BDG connection is hemodynamically more efficient compared to HF using stereolithographic models of patient-specific anatomicies in combination with phase-contrast MRI data serving as the flow input. A recent study by Kung and colleagues applied multiscale modeling approach using the same patient preoperative anatomicies and virtually superimposed either the HF or the BDG template on the final hemodynamic system. The authors observed highly variable energy losses at the level of the SCPC junction between the 2 techniques, with slightly worse hydraulic energy loss in some HF patients; critically, they also reported that the local power losses have a negligible effect on the entire pulmonary circulation, and that final physiologic and hemodynamic outcomes were similar in the 2 groups.

It is important to consider measured flow-mediated energy loss in the context of the entire pulmonary circuit and single ventricular power. Most studies have reported local energy loss in the range of 0.1 to 3.5 mW. Studies modeling HF surgical configuration showed that total mechanical power loss across the entire pulmonary bed can exceed 15 mW, and consequently, local flow-mediated energy dissipation was calculated to represent 1% to 16% of the overall energy loss and <2% of ventricular power loss. However, this energy loss can be more severe in the setting of proximal pulmonary arterial stenosis and might be more profound during exercise. In patients with the BDG configuration, a highly uneven flow ratio to the branch PAs has been shown to increase flow energy loss, with the minimal energy loss achieved at near-normal physiologic 55% split flow to the RPA. Additional consideration should be given to the shear-dependent blood viscosity, otherwise known as non-Newtonian behavior, describing a nonlinear relationship between shear stress and shear strain at low-velocity venous flow conditions. A study by Cheng and colleagues showed that considering this physiologic property significantly alters flow hemodynamic outcomes, including power loss, pulmonary flow...
distribution, shear stress, and caval flow mixing in Fontan circulation, yet this property is often omitted in computational studies.

Our data show that flow-mediated viscous energy loss, $E_L'$, in the pre-Fontan stage ranges from 0.02 to 0.10 mW, which is approximately one-third lower than values reported in studies evaluating the completed total cavopulmonary anastomosis.\textsuperscript{12,23} Based on our results and on previously discussed computational studies, we believe that $E_L'$ and the quality of flow formations have only minor roles in the overall mechanical energy loss in the pulmonary circuit, particularly in circuits with significant stenoses. However, $E_L'$ represents only mechanical energy loss due to frictional losses, and thus the overall hydraulic energy loss is underestimated. Furthermore, although 4D-Flow MRI–derived $E_L'$ measurements are considered reliable in comparative studies,\textsuperscript{24} they typically underestimate the true $E_L'$, as shown by computational studies.\textsuperscript{11} Qualitatively observed helical flow through the RPA in HF did not significantly increase $E_L'$. We speculate that this is due primarily to the low-velocity flow system and relatively short distance along which $E_L'$ was measured. In addition, the role of

FIGURE 4. A-I, Temporal evolution of flow in the representative hemi-Fontan configuration throughout the cardiac cycle. No secondary flow formations were observed in the area of the cavoatrial junction, but a small helix in the right pulmonary artery can be appreciated in mid-systolic phase.
helical flow through large vessels merits further exploration, as higher helicity has been previously associated with better hemodynamic outcomes in patients with pulmonary hypertension.25,26 Future studies using 4D-Flow MRI—estimated $E_L$—should be evaluated in the context of stress exercise using MRI-compatible devices to appreciate the energy loss in the context of higher myocardial workload.

Limitations

This early-stage descriptive study considered only patients without significant stenoses and with favorable pre-Fontan stage hemodynamics, resulting in a significant patient selection bias. Furthermore, this was only a single-center study reflective of surgical techniques at our institution. At this time, we also did not have post-Fontan stage flow hemodynamic parameters for a final outcome comparison of the 2 techniques. Additional limitations are pertinent primarily to the 4D-Flow MRI technique, including limited spatiotemporal resolution and the inability to study flow hemodynamic patterns in patients with implanted stents. We believe that multimodality studies involving both 4D-Flow MRI and computational fluid dynamics would be highly beneficial for investigating flow hemodynamic patterns and their overall role during the interstage phase of the Fontan palliation and in long-term clinical outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS

The second stage of surgical palliation of HLHS using either HF or BDG results in similar flow-mediated viscous energy loss throughout the SCPC junction. Our 4D-Flow MRI results complement previous computational results suggesting minimal differences in mechanical fluid dissipating energy loss, particularly in the context of the entire pulmonary circuit. 4D-Flow MRI and computational fluid dynamics should be applied together to investigate flow hemodynamic patterns throughout the Fontan palliation and to investigate the role of flow-mediated energy loss in predicting clinical outcomes and overall efficiency of the Fontan circuit.
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