

See Article page 33.



## Commentary: In silico design of right ventricle to pulmonary artery conduits—confirmation of “in cerebral” design?

Reilly D. Hobbs, MD, and Ming-Sing Si, MD



Reilly D. Hobbs, MD, and Ming-Sing Si, MD

The use of right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery conduits establishes hemodynamic continuity between the right ventricle and branch pulmonary arteries as well as provides early protection against retrograde flow from the pulmonary arteries into the lungs during diastole. Right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery conduits are thus critical in the repair of heart defects in which the native main pulmonary artery and pulmonary valve are absent, hypoplastic, or have been co-opted for use in the systemic circulation. As with any non-autologous (allogeneic, xenogenic, or synthetic) implanted material, right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery conduits lack the ability to grow, regenerate, and remodel. Consequently, these conduits eventually require replacement because of eventual failure to provide unobstructed antegrade flow as well as prevent significant retrograde diastolic flow.<sup>1,2</sup>

This clinical situation motivates the study by Ebrahimi and colleagues.<sup>3</sup> In this study, the authors generated a model for the optimal right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery conduit using computational fluid dynamics and 3-dimensional imaging data from 5 patients who presented with right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery conduit failure. The Central Figure and Figure 5 of their manuscript summarize the results nicely: the failed conduits requiring replacement are narrowed and stenotic whereas the predicted, optimal in silico model has a larger caliber

From the Section of Pediatric Cardiovascular Surgery, Department of Cardiac Surgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich.

Disclosures: The authors reported no conflicts of interest.

The *Journal* policy requires editors and reviewers to disclose conflicts of interest and to decline handling or reviewing manuscripts for which they may have a conflict of interest. The editors and reviewers of this article have no conflicts of interest.

Received for publication Dec 12, 2019; revisions received Dec 12, 2019; accepted for publication Jan 3, 2020; available ahead of print March 20, 2020.

Address for reprints: Ming-Sing Si, MD, 11-735 C.S. Mott Children's Hospital SPC 4204, 1540 E Hospital Dr, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-4204 (E-mail: [dingsing@umich.edu](mailto:dingsing@umich.edu)).

JTCVS Open 2020;1:49-50  
2666-2736

Copyright © 2020 by The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association for Thoracic Surgery. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xjon.2020.01.001>

### CENTRAL MESSAGE

In silico design of right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery conduits yielded models that were expected from intuition or the “surgeon’s eye” but may be useful for implants with more complex shapes.

and smooth contour. Further, these figures are color-coded for wall shear stress, which is greatest in areas of narrowing or curvature.

This study provides proof of concept for the use of in silico design and computational fluid dynamics to create an individualized right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery conduit in patients with congenital heart disease. It is important to note that the in silico-modeled conduits were compared with conduits in need of replacement. It would be of interest to compare the in silico models to the newly replaced right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery conduits to assess whether there remains a difference in the hemodynamic profiles between surgeon-tailored conduits and computer-modeled conduits. On careful inspection of the failed and modeled conduits in Figure 5 of the authors’ manuscript, we would surmise that the surgeon likely envisioned the optimal conduit to be very similar to the in silico design.

However, the motivation for this study is to develop an approach that could be coupled with additive manufacturing technology such as 3-dimensional bioprinting, where implantable grafts and conduits are personalized to a specific patient’s anatomy as determined by 3-dimensional imaging. This may be especially of great utility when designing more complex parts, such as branched grafts or patches with complex curvature in multiple dimensions. For more simple geometries, perhaps the “in cerebral” design is just as good. Lastly, if the fabrication of tissue-engineered grafts and conduits ever becomes a reality,

then these living tissues would have the potential of remodeling to the appropriate and most efficient shape and caliber, thus making the initial, personalized design less important.

### References

1. Bibeovski S, Ruzmetov M, Fortuna RS, Turrentine MW, Brown JW, Ohye RG. Performance of SynerGraft decellularized pulmonary allografts compared with standard cryopreserved allografts: results from multiinstitutional data. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2017;103:869-74.
2. Patel PM, Tan C, Srivastava N, Herrmann JL, Rodefeld MD, Turrentine MW, et al. Bovine jugular vein conduit: a mid- to long-term institutional review. *World J Pediatr Congenit Heart Surg.* 2018;9:489-95.
3. Ebrahimi P, Youssef D, Salve G, Ayer J, Dehghani F, Fletcher DF, et al. Evaluation of personalized right ventricle to pulmonary artery conduits using in silico design and computational analysis of flow. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg Open.* 2020;1:33-48.